



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/962,077	09/14/1998	ROMAN M. GOLICZ	9534	8430

7590                    08/05/2003

CHARLES G NESSLER  
P O BOX H  
CHESTER, CT 06412

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

[REDACTED] ART UNIT      [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/962,077	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOLICZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David H Bollinger	<b>Art Unit</b> 3653

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address.

The Appeal Brief filed on 18 July 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1)ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.  A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
  - (a)  the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
  - (b)  the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

see attached

David H Bollinger  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3653

Art Unit: 3653

The brief does not contain a heading identifying the real party in interest as required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(1).

The brief does not contain a heading identifying the related appeals and interferences directly affected by or having a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal as required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(2).

The brief contains two claims labeled 30, two claims labeled 32 and two claims labeled 45 in the appendix containing a copy of the claims on appeal.

The appeal brief filed on 18 July 2003 is defective because the three copies of the brief required under 37 CFR 1.192(a) have not been submitted.


Applicant stated in the Notice of Appeal that a request for reconsideration was filed on 23 April 2003 in response to the Advisory Action mailed 6 March 2003, Paper No. 28 and that no response to such request has been received. An Advisory Action was mailed 4 June 2003, Paper No. 32, in response to the above mentioned request for reconsideration, but is unclear if applicant has received the Advisory Action of 4 June 2003. Accordingly, a copy of the Advisory Action of 4 June 2003 is attached hereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H Bollinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.



David H Bollinger  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3653